

## Wess-Zumino-Witten model on elliptic curves at the critical level

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2001 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 2403

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/34/11/330>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.124

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 08:51

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

# Wess–Zumino–Witten model on elliptic curves at the critical level

Gen Kuroki<sup>1</sup> and Takashi Takebe<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University, Sendai 980, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Ochanomizu University, Otsuka, Tokyo 112-8610, Japan

Received 19 May 2000

## Abstract

We construct a Gaudin-type lattice model as the Wess–Zumino–Witten model on elliptic curves at the critical level. Bethe eigenvectors are obtained by the bosonization technique.

PACS numbers: 0210, 0220, 0550

## 1. Introduction

The goal of this article is to construct a lattice model which is a variant of the Gaudin model with the help of the Wess–Zumino–Witten (WZW) model on elliptic curves at the critical level and to find its eigenvectors by means of the bosonization of the WZW model.

As is well known, correlation functions of the WZW model on the Riemann sphere satisfy the Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov equations when the level  $k$  of the model is not critical, i.e.  $k \neq -h^\vee$ , where  $h^\vee$  is the dual Coxeter number of the simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  which describes the symmetry of the model. In contrast to this case, when the level is critical,  $k = -h^\vee$ , there can no longer be such an equation since the Sugawara construction of the energy–momentum tensor breaks down.

In [FFR] Feigin *et al* found an interpretation of such case as a lattice model called the (rational) Gaudin model [G1, G2, G3], a quasi-classical limit of the totally isotropic spin chain model (the  $XXX$  model). (See [S].) It is also shown in [FFR] that the free-field realization of the WZW model provides a new diagonalization method, which recovers the results of the Bethe Ansatz method.

In this paper we apply this story to the WZW model on elliptic curves. The state space of the lattice model thus obtained is a space of functions over the Cartan subalgebra. The transfer matrix (the generating function of the Hamiltonians) is a quasi-classical limit of the IRF-type lattice model. Therefore we name the model a ‘face-type Gaudin model’. The bosonization technique is also applied to find a Bethe Ansatz-type eigenvector.

Felder and Varchenko [FV1, FV2] studied this system and its Bethe Ansatz, which arose from the stationary phase method of the integral representation of solutions of the KZB equations. Their results are used in the analysis of a spin chain model with elliptic exchanges

in [I]. The same kind of system (the Gaudin–Calogero model) has been also studied by Enriquez, Feigin and Rubtsov [ER, EFR], who started from the quantization of the Hitchin system on elliptic curves.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we state our main results. Section 3 explains how to derive our transfer matrix from the WZW model on elliptic curves at the critical level. In order to construct the Bethe eigenvectors we make use of the Wakimoto modules with the critical level, which we recall in section 4. The last section, section 5, shows that the free-field theory gives the eigenvector of the transfer matrix in the form of the Bethe vector.

Details will be published in a forthcoming paper. Mathematically delicate conditions such as finiteness of modules are not specified unless they are essential.

### 2. Main results

First we fix notations. Throughout this paper  $\tau$  is a complex number with positive imaginary part. The elliptic curve with modulus  $\tau$  is denoted by  $X = X_\tau = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra of rank  $l$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}$  be its Cartan subalgebra and

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \tag{2.1}$$

be the root space decomposition, where  $\Delta$  is the set of roots. We use the Cartan–Killing form normalized as follows:

$$(A|B) := \frac{1}{2h^\vee} \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\text{ad}A \text{ad}B) \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{for } A, B \in \mathfrak{g}. \tag{2.2}$$

We identify  $\mathfrak{h}$  and its dual space  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  through this inner product. We fix the simple roots  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ , Chevalley generators  $\{H_i, E_i, F_i\}_{i=1, \dots, l}$  and a basis  $e_\alpha$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ , such that  $e_{\alpha_i} = E_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, l$  and  $(e_\alpha | e_{-\alpha'}) = \delta_{\alpha, \alpha'}$ . The sets of positive and negative roots are denoted by  $\Delta_+ = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s\}$  and  $\Delta_-$  respectively. We fix an orthonormal basis  $\{h_r\}_{r=1, \dots, l}$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$  and the coordinate system of  $\mathfrak{h}$ ,  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_l) \in \mathbb{C}^l$ , associated with it.

Let  $V_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) be finite-dimensional irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with the highest weight  $\lambda_i$  and  $V(0)$  be the 0-weight space of  $V = V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_N$ . We denote the action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on the  $i$ th factor  $V_i$  by  $\rho_i$  as usual. The dual (right) action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\Phi \in V^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(V, \mathbb{C})$  is denoted as

$$\rho_i^*(A)\Phi(v) := \Phi(\rho_i(A)v) \tag{2.3}$$

and the 0-weight space of  $V^*$  by  $V^*(0)$ .

We define a differential operator  $\hat{\tau}(u)$  on  $V^*(0)$ -valued functions on

$$S = \{H \in \mathfrak{h} \mid \alpha(H) \notin \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta\} \tag{2.4}$$

with fixed complex parameters  $z_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) and a spectral parameter  $u$  as follows:

$$\hat{\tau}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^l \nabla_{\xi_r, u}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} w_{\alpha(H)}(z_i - u) w_{-\alpha(H)}(z_j - u) \rho_j^*(e_{-\alpha}) \rho_i^*(e_\alpha) \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\nabla_{\xi_r, u} := \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_r} - \sum_{i=1}^N \zeta_{11}(z_i - u) \rho_i^*(h_r). \tag{2.6}$$

The quasi-periodic functions  $w_c(z)$  and  $\zeta_{11}(z)$  are defined by (A2).

Since this operator can be interpreted as a trace of the square of the dynamical (or modified) classical  $r$ -matrix, which is a classical limit of the IRF-type lattice models (cf [F1, F2, FS]), we call  $\hat{\tau}(u)$  the *transfer matrix of the face-type Gaudin model*.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The operator  $\hat{\tau}(u)$  commutes with itself:*

$$[\hat{\tau}(u), \hat{\tau}(u')] = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

This can be checked by direct computation, but we shall show in section 3 that it can be proved with the help of the WZW model at the critical level.

Taking theorem 2.1 into account, we can pose a question of simultaneous diagonalization of  $\hat{\tau}(u)$ . Our main result is the following Bethe Ansatz solution of this problem. Assume that  $V_i$  is the dual Verma module  $M_{\lambda_i}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and that the sum of the weights  $\lambda_i$  belongs to the positive root lattice:

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i = \sum_{j=1}^M \alpha_{i(j)} \tag{2.8}$$

for a sequence  $\{\alpha_{i(j)}\}_{j=1, \dots, M}$  of simple roots. The symbol  $J(v)$  for  $v \in M_{\lambda}^*$  is the canonical pairing of  $v$  with the highest-weight vector of the Verma module  $M_{\lambda}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *If there are complex numbers  $t_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, M$ ) satisfying the Bethe Ansatz equation,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N (\alpha_{i(j)} | \lambda_i) \zeta_{11}(t_j - z_i) = \sum_{j' \neq j} (\alpha_{i(j')} | \alpha_{i(j)}) \zeta_{11}(t_j - t_{j'}) \tag{2.9}$$

for any  $j = 1, \dots, M$ , then

$$\Psi(H; v) := \sum_{\{I_j\}} \prod_{a=1}^N \langle I_a; v_a; z_a, t_j (j \in I_a) \rangle \tag{2.10}$$

is an eigenvector of  $\hat{\tau}(u)$ . Here  $\{I_j\}$  is a partition of the set  $\{1, \dots, M\}$  into  $N$  sets,  $I_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup I_N = \{1, \dots, M\}$  and the symbol  $\langle I; v; z, t_j (j \in I) \rangle$  is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I; v; z, t_j (j \in I) \rangle := & \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}} w_{\alpha_{i(\sigma(1))}}(t_{\sigma(1)} - t_{\sigma(2)}) w_{\alpha_{i(\sigma(1)) + \alpha_{i(\sigma(2))}}(t_{\sigma(2)} - t_{\sigma(3)}) \times \dots \\ & \times w_{\alpha_{i(\sigma(1)) + \alpha_{i(\sigma(2))} + \dots + \alpha_{i(\sigma(m))}}(t_{\sigma(m)} - z) J(E_{i(\sigma(m))} \dots E_{i(\sigma(1))} v) \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

if  $I = \{1, \dots, m\}$ . (We write  $w_{\alpha}$  instead of  $w_{\alpha(H)}$  for short.) The eigenvalue of  $\Psi(H; v)$  is

$$\tau_{\Psi}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^l \zeta(h_r; z, t; u)^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \zeta(\rho; z, t; u). \tag{2.12}$$

Here  $\zeta$  is defined by

$$\zeta(h; z, t; u) := \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i(h) \zeta_{11}(z_i - u) - \sum_{j=1}^M \alpha_{i(j)}(h) \zeta_{11}(t_j - u) \tag{2.13}$$

and  $\rho$  is the half sum of the positive roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

We shall show how to prove this theorem by bosonization in section 5.

### 3. WZW model on elliptic curves at the critical level

The idea behind the definition (2.5) and theorem 2.1 is that the linear functional  $\Phi(H; v)$  and  $(\hat{\tau}(u)\Phi)(H; v)$  are analogues of an  $N$ -point function of the WZW model and the correlation function of the energy–momentum tensor, respectively.

First we define the geometric data on which the WZW model lives. Let  $\mathfrak{X} = S \times X_\tau$  be the trivial family of elliptic curves and  $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}/S} : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow S$  be the projection. The divisor of  $\mathfrak{X}$  corresponding to the parameter  $z_i$  is denoted by  $P_i$  and their sum by  $D$ :

$$P_i := S \times z_i \bmod \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau \subset \mathfrak{X} \quad D := P_1 + \dots + P_N. \tag{3.1}$$

The following general definition of  $N$ -point functions is valid not only for the WZW model but also for the free-field theories which we use later.

Assume that the following Lie algebraic data on  $\mathfrak{X}$  are given.

- $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ : a Lie algebra bundle with a fibre isomorphic to a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{a}$ .
- $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}} \times_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^1$ : an  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -bilinear  $\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^1$ -valued pairing which is a 2-cocycle up to exact forms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}} \times \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}} \ni (A, B) &\mapsto \langle A | B \rangle \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^1 \\ \langle A | B \rangle + \langle B | A \rangle &\in d_{\mathfrak{X}/S} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \\ \langle [A, B] | C \rangle + \langle [B, C] | A \rangle + \langle [C, A] | B \rangle &\in d_{\mathfrak{X}/S} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

for any  $A, B, C \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ . For example, if there is a connection  $\nabla$  on  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  along the fibre compatible with the Lie algebra structure,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla : \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^1 \\ \nabla[A, B] &= [\nabla A, B] + [A, \nabla B] \quad \text{for } A, B \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and an invariant  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ -inner product  $(\cdot | \cdot)$  of  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  which satisfies

$$d_{\mathfrak{X}/S}(A|B) = (\nabla A | B) + (A | \nabla B) \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/S}^1 \quad \text{for } A, B \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}} \tag{3.4}$$

then  $\langle A | B \rangle := (\nabla A | B)$  has desired properties.

**Example 3.1.** In this section we use the following data. The Lie algebra bundle  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  over  $\mathfrak{X}$  is defined as the quotient of  $S \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{g}$  by the  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action,

$$(m, n) \cdot (H; t; A) = (H; t + m\tau + n; e^{2\pi i m \text{ad} H} A) \tag{3.5}$$

for  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $(H; t; A) \in S \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{g}$ . The connection  $\nabla$  of (3.3) is the trivial differentiation  $d/dt \otimes dt$  along the elliptic curve and the invariant bilinear form  $(\cdot | \cdot)$  is defined by (2.2).

For  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , we put

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}_S^{P_i} &:= (\pi_{\mathfrak{X}/S})_*(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}(*P_i))_{P_i}^{\wedge} \cong (\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S)((x_i)) \\ \mathfrak{a}_{S,+}^{P_i} &:= (\pi_{\mathfrak{X}/S})_*(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}})_{P_i}^{\wedge} \cong (\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S)[[x_i]] \\ \mathfrak{a}_S^D &:= \bigoplus_{i=1}^N \mathfrak{a}_S^{P_i} \quad \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_S^D := \mathfrak{a}_S^D \oplus \mathcal{O}_S \hat{k} \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where  $x_i$  is a formal local parameter and the central extension  $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_S^D$  is defined by the  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -valued 2-cocycle

$$c(A, B) := \sum_{i=1}^N \text{Res}_{P_i} \langle A_i | B_i \rangle \tag{3.7}$$

where  $A = (A_i)_{i=1}^N, B = (B_i)_{i=1}^N \in \mathfrak{a}_S^D$ .

**Example 3.2.** For example 3.1, the central extension  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_S^{P_i}$  is naturally isomorphic to the space of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -valued functions on  $S$ ,  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_S = \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_S$ , where  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the affine Lie algebra associated with  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}^D$  be the space of meromorphic sections of  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  which are globally defined along the fibre of  $\pi_{\mathfrak{X}/S}$  and holomorphic except at  $D$ :

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}^D := (\pi_{\mathfrak{X}/S})_*(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}(*D)) \tag{3.8}$$

which is naturally regarded as a Lie subalgebra of  $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_S^D$ .

**Example 3.3.** For the Lie algebra bundle  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{X}}$  in example 3.1, we denote  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}^D$  by  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{X}}^D$ . The section  $w_{\alpha(H)}(t - z_i)e_{\alpha}$  (cf (A2)) belongs to  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{X}}^D$ .

Let us take  $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_S^{P_i}$ -modules  $\mathcal{M}_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) with the same level  $\hat{k} = k$  and define  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mathcal{M}_N$ .

**Definition 3.4.** (i) The sheaf of conformal blocks  $\mathcal{CB}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}, D, \mathcal{M})$  is defined to be the space of  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -linear functionals on  $\mathcal{M}$  which vanishes on  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}^D \mathcal{M}$ :  $\Phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_S)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{CB}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}, D, \mathcal{M})$  if and only if it satisfies

$$\Phi(A_{\mathfrak{X}}v) = 0 \quad \text{for all } A_{\mathfrak{X}} \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}^D \quad \text{and} \quad v \in \mathcal{M}. \tag{3.9}$$

This equation (3.9) is called the Ward identity.

(ii) There is a flat connection on  $\mathcal{CB}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}, D, \mathcal{M})$ . A flat section is called the  $N$ -point function. The set of  $N$ -point functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{CB}^{\text{hor}}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}, D, \mathcal{M})$ .

The flat connection on  $\mathcal{CB}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{X}}, D, \mathcal{M})$  is defined as follows. We use the notation

$$\rho_i^*(h_r\{\theta_i(x_i)\}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \theta_{i,m} \rho_i^*(h_r[m]) \tag{3.10}$$

for an element  $\theta_i(x_i) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \theta_{i,m} x_i^m$ , of  $\mathcal{O}_S((x_i))$ . The connection on  $\mathcal{CB}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{X}}, D, \mathcal{M})$  in the direction of  $\xi_r$  is defined by

$$\nabla_{\partial/\partial \xi_r}^* = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_r} - \rho^*(h_r\{Z(t)\}) := \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_r} - \sum_{i=1}^N \rho_i^*(h_r\{Z(x_i + z_i)\}) \tag{3.11}$$

where  $Z(t)$  is a meromorphic function with poles in  $D$  and has quasi-periodicity

$$Z(t + m\tau + n) = Z(t) - 2\pi im. \tag{3.12}$$

(In (3.11)  $Z(t)$  is expanded around  $z_i$  in the power series of  $x_i = t - z_i$  and substituted into (3.10).) For example, we can take  $Z(t) = \zeta_{11}(t - z_1)$  (cf (A2)).

We denote  $\mathcal{CB}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{X}}, D, \mathcal{M})$  and  $\mathcal{CB}^{\text{hor}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{X}}, D, \mathcal{M})$  by  $\mathcal{CB}(D, \mathcal{M})$  and  $\mathcal{CB}^{\text{hor}}(D, \mathcal{M})$  for short. We mean by the WZW model the theory on  $\mathcal{CB}(D, \mathcal{M})$  or  $\mathcal{CB}^{\text{hor}}(D, \mathcal{M})$ . Hereafter we assume that the  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module  $M_i$  is generated over  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  by a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule  $V_i$  on which the centre  $\hat{k} \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  acts as multiplication by  $k$  and  $A \otimes x^m$  ( $A \in \mathfrak{g}, m > 0$ ) acts by 0. Put  $\mathcal{M}_i := M_i \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$ ,  $V = V_1 \otimes \dots \otimes V_N$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** An  $N$ -point function  $\Phi(H; v)$  of the WZW model is determined by its values on  $v \in V(0)$ , where  $V(0)$  is the 0-weight space of  $V$ . In other words, the following restriction map is injective:

$$\mathcal{CB}^{\text{hor}}(D, \mathcal{M}) \ni \Phi \mapsto \Phi(H; v) \in V^*(0) \otimes \mathcal{O}_S. \tag{3.13}$$

This is a consequence of the Ward identity (3.9) and the flatness condition.

Let us return to the discussion for the general Lie algebra bundle  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ . Let  $Q$  be a point not contained in  $D$  and  $\text{Vac}_{Q,k}$  be the  $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_S^Q$ -module induced from the trivial  $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{S,+}^Q$ -module  $\mathcal{O}_{S,k}$  of level  $k$ :

$$\text{Vac}_{Q,k} := \text{Ind}_{\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_{S,+}^Q}^{\hat{\mathfrak{a}}_S^Q} \mathcal{O}_{S,k} \tag{3.14}$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_{S,k} = \mathcal{O}_S|_0$  as a linear space,  $\mathfrak{a}_{S,+}^Q \mathcal{O}_{S,k} = 0$  and  $\hat{k}$  acts as a multiplication by  $k$ . We call  $\text{Vac}_{Q,k}$  the vacuum module of level  $k$  at  $Q$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *The canonical linear map  $\mathcal{M} \ni v \mapsto v \otimes |0\rangle \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \text{Vac}_{Q,k}$  induces isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{CB}(\mathfrak{a}_x, D, \mathcal{M}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{CB}(\mathfrak{a}_x, D + Q, \mathcal{M} \otimes \text{Vac}_{Q,k}) \\ \mathcal{CB}^{\text{hor}}(\mathfrak{a}_x, D, \mathcal{M}) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{CB}^{\text{hor}}(\mathfrak{a}_x, D + Q, \mathcal{M} \otimes \text{Vac}_{Q,k}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

This property is called *propagation of vacua* in [TUY].

The following proposition reveals the real nature of the operator  $\hat{\tau}(u)$  in (2.5). The operator obtained directly from the WZW model differs from  $\hat{\tau}(u)$  by conjugation. Let us define an operator  $\tilde{\tau}(u)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}(u) &= \Pi(H, \tau) \hat{\tau}(u) \Pi(H, \tau)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^l \nabla_{\xi_r, u}^2 + \sum_{r=1}^l \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_r} \log \Pi(H, \tau) \nabla_{\xi_r, u} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} w_{\alpha(H)}(z_i - u) w_{-\alpha(H)}(z_j - u) \rho_j^*(e_{-\alpha}) \rho_i^*(e_{\alpha}) \\ &\quad + 2\pi i h^\vee \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \log \Pi(H, \tau) \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where  $\Pi(H, \tau)$  is the normalized Weyl–Kac denominator,

$$\Pi(H, \tau) = q^{\dim \mathfrak{g}/24} (q; q)_\infty \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (e^{\pi i \alpha(H)} - e^{-i \pi \alpha(H)}) \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} (q e^{2\pi i \alpha(H)}; q)_\infty. \tag{3.17}$$

Here we use the usual notations,  $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$  and  $(x; q)_\infty = \prod_{n=0}^\infty (1 - x q^n)$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** *According to lemma 3.6, there is an  $(N + 1)$ -point function  $\tilde{\Phi}$  corresponding to  $\Phi \in \mathcal{CB}^{\text{hor}}(D, \mathcal{M})$ . We have*

$$(\tilde{\tau}(u)\Phi)(H; v) = \tilde{\Phi}(H; v \otimes S[-2]|0\rangle) \tag{3.18}$$

for  $H \in S$ ,  $v \in V(0)$ , where  $u$  is the coordinate of  $Q$  on the complex plane and  $S[-2]$  is defined as a coefficient of the Sugawara tensor,

$$S(u) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{g}} \circ J_p(u) J_p(u) \circ = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} S[n] z^{-n-1}. \tag{3.19}$$

Here  $\{J_p\}_{p=1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{g}}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the symbol  $\circ \circ$  is the normal ordering operation.

This means that  $\tilde{\tau}(u)\Phi(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_N)$  is the correlation function  $\langle S(u)v_1(z_1) \dots v_N(z_N) \rangle$  of  $S(u)$  in the context of the conformal field theory.

Hitherto the level is arbitrary. To prove theorem 2.1, we need to fix the level to the critical value,  $k = -h^\vee$ , where  $S[-2]|0\rangle$  is a singular vector of imaginary weight. Roughly speaking, by virtue of this fact the correlation function of two Sugawara tensors,  $\langle S(u)S(u')v_1(z_1) \dots v_N(z_N) \rangle$ , is irrelevant to the order of insertion of  $S(u)$  and  $S(u')$ , from which the commutativity (2.7) follows.

#### 4. Wakimoto modules at the critical level

The Bethe vector (2.10) is constructed by means of the Wakimoto realization of affine Lie algebras from the free-field theory. In this section we review basic facts about the Wakimoto representations, following [K]. (See also [W, FF1, FF2, FFR].)

The bosonic ghost fields,

$$\beta_\alpha(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-m-1} \beta_\alpha[m] \quad \gamma^\alpha(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-m} \gamma^\alpha[m] \quad (\alpha \in \Delta_+) \quad (4.1)$$

satisfy the following operator product expansions:

$$\beta_\alpha(z) \gamma^{\alpha'}(w) \sim \frac{\delta_{\alpha\alpha'}}{z-w}. \quad (4.2)$$

We denote the Heisenberg algebra generated by  $\beta_\alpha[m]$  and  $\gamma^\alpha[m]$  ( $\alpha \in \Delta_+, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) by  $\widehat{\text{Gh}}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

The ghost Fock space  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{gh}}$  is defined as a left  $\widehat{\text{Gh}}(\mathfrak{g})$ -module generated by the vacuum vector  $|0\rangle^{\text{gh}}$ , satisfying

$$\beta_\alpha[m]|0\rangle^{\text{gh}} = 0 \quad \gamma^\alpha[n]|0\rangle^{\text{gh}} = 0 \quad (4.3)$$

for any  $\alpha \in \Delta_+, m \geq 0, n > 0$ . The normal ordered product  $:P:$  of a monomial  $P$  of  $\beta_\alpha[m]$ 's and  $\gamma^\alpha[m]$ 's is defined by putting annihilation operators of  $|0\rangle^{\text{gh}}$  appearing in  $P$  on the right-hand side in the product.

The free-boson fields,

$$\phi_i(z) := \phi_i[0] \log z + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{z^{-m}}{-m} \phi_i[m] \quad \partial \phi_i(z) := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-m-1} \phi_i[m] \quad (4.4)$$

$$\phi(H; z) = \sum_{i=1}^l a_i \phi_i(z) \quad \phi[H; m] = \sum_{i=1}^l a_i \phi_i[m]$$

for  $H = \sum_{i=1}^l a_i H_i \in \mathfrak{h}$  have trivial operator product expansions:

$$\phi(H; z) \phi(H'; w) \sim 0 \quad \partial \phi(H; z) \phi(H'; w) \sim 0 \quad (4.5)$$

for any  $H, H' \in \mathfrak{h}$ .

The commutative algebra generated by  $\phi_i[m]$  ( $i = 1, \dots, l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) is denoted by  $\widehat{\text{Bos}}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

For any one-form  $\lambda(x) dx \in \mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \mathbb{C}((x)) dx$ , we define a one-dimensional representation  $\sigma_{\lambda(x) dx}$  of  $\widehat{\text{Bos}}(\mathfrak{g})$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\lambda(x) dx} &= \mathbb{C}|\lambda(x) dx\rangle \\ f(x)|\lambda(x) dx\rangle &= \text{Res}_{x=0}(\lambda(x), f(x)) dx \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where  $f(x) \in \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}((x))$  is identified with an element of  $\widehat{\text{Bos}}$  by the isomorphism defined by  $H_i \otimes x^m \mapsto H_i[m]$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the canonical pairing of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$ . In other words,

$$\phi[H; m]|\lambda(x) dx\rangle = \lambda^{(-m-1)}(H)|\lambda(x) dx\rangle \quad (4.7)$$

where  $\lambda(x) dx = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \lambda^{(n)} x^n dx, \lambda^{(n)} \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ . Hereafter we assume that  $\lambda^{(n)} = 0$  for  $n \leq -2$ .

**Proposition 4.1** ([W, FF1, FF2, K]). For each Chevalley generator  $H_i, E_i$  or  $F_i$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , there exists a differential polynomial of the free fields,

$$X(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} X[m] z^{-m-1} := :R(X; \gamma(z), \beta(z), \partial \phi(z)):$$

which gives the corresponding Kac–Moody current. Namely, a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\omega$  from the affine Lie algebra  $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{Ck}}$  to a completion of  $\widehat{\text{Gh}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \widehat{\text{Bos}}(\mathfrak{g})$  can be defined by

$$\omega(X \otimes t^m) = X[m] \quad \omega(\widehat{k}) = -h^\vee \quad (4.8)$$



for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\hat{k}$  is the centre of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $h^\vee$  is the dual Coxeter number of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Moreover, the Sugawara tensor  $S(z)$  defined by (3.19) is expressed in terms of the free bosons as follows:

$$S(z) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^l : \partial \phi(h_r; z) \partial \phi(h_r; z) : - \frac{1}{2} \partial^2 \phi(2\rho; z). \tag{4.9}$$

**Definition 4.2.** Denote  $\mathcal{F}^{\text{gh}} \otimes \sigma_{\lambda(x) dx}$  by  $\text{Wak}_{\lambda(x) dx}$ . We regard this module as a  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module through the above homomorphism  $\omega : \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Gh}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \widehat{\text{Bos}}(\mathfrak{g})$  and call it a Wakimoto module of level  $-h^\vee$  (or of critical level) with highest weight  $\lambda(x) dx$ .

The Wakimoto module contains a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -submodule isomorphic to the dual Verma module  $M_\lambda^*$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with the highest weight  $\lambda = \lambda^{(-1)}$ . (See proposition 4.4 of [K].) We denote it by  $\text{Wak}_{\lambda(x) dx}^0$ . It satisfies for any  $m > 0$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$X[m] \text{Wak}_{\lambda(x) dx}^0 = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

### 5. Free-field theories and Bethe vectors

We can ‘decompose’ the WZW model in section 3 into free-field theories, using the Wakimoto realization, theorem 4.1. The Bethe vectors in theorem 2.2 are nothing but the  $N$ -point functions of the free-field theories.

Let us define free-field theories in the framework introduced in section 3. We defined the Lie algebra bundle  $\mathfrak{g}_x$  as a quotient of  $S \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{g}$  by the  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action (3.5). Note that this action preserves the triangular decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$ . We regard *vector bundles*,

$$\beta_x := \mathbb{Z}^2 \backslash S \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{n}_+ \tag{5.1}$$

$$\gamma_x := (\mathbb{Z}^2 \backslash S \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_x} \Omega_{x/S}^1 \tag{5.2}$$

$$\text{Bos}_x := \mathbb{Z}^2 \backslash S \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathfrak{h} \tag{5.3}$$

as Abelian Lie algebra bundles and put  $\text{Gh}_x := \beta_x \oplus \gamma_x$ . We apply definition 3.4 to  $\mathfrak{a}_x = \text{Gh}_x$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_x = \text{Bos}_x$ . The pairing (3.2) for  $\text{Bos}_x$  is trivial (i.e.  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle = 0$ ) and the pairing for  $\text{Gh}_x$  is defined by

$$\langle (A_1, B_1 dt) | (A_2, B_2 dt) \rangle^{\text{gh}} := (A_1 | B_2) dt - (B_1 | A_2) dt \in \Omega_x^1 \tag{5.4}$$

where  $A_i \in \beta_x$ ,  $B_i dt \in \gamma_x$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $(\cdot | \cdot)$  denotes the inner product defined by (2.2). Here we identify  $\beta_x$  and  $\gamma_x$  with a subbundle of  $\mathfrak{g}_x$  and a subbundle of  $\mathfrak{g}_x \otimes \Omega_{x/S}^1$  respectively.

The algebra defined by (3.6) for  $D = P$  (a point) in this case is isomorphic to  $\widehat{\text{Gh}}_S(\mathfrak{g}) = \widehat{\text{Gh}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_S$  when  $\mathfrak{a}_x = \text{Gh}_x$  and to  $\widehat{\text{Bos}}_S(\mathfrak{g}) = \widehat{\text{Bos}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_S$  when  $\mathfrak{a}_x = \text{Bos}_x$ .

We denote the sheaf of conformal blocks  $\mathcal{CB}(\mathfrak{a}_x, D, \mathcal{M})$  and the space of  $N$ -point functions  $\mathcal{CB}^{\text{hor}}(\mathfrak{a}_x, D, \mathcal{M})$  defined in definition 3.4 for  $\mathfrak{a}_x = \text{Gh}_x, \text{Bos}_x$  by

$$\mathcal{CB}^{\text{gh}}(D, \mathcal{M}) := \mathcal{CB}(\text{Gh}_x, D, \mathcal{M}) \quad \mathcal{CB}^{\text{bos}}(D, \mathcal{M}) := \mathcal{CB}(\text{Bos}_x, D, \mathcal{M}) \tag{5.5}$$

$$\mathcal{CB}^{\text{gh,hor}}(D, \mathcal{M}) := \mathcal{CB}^{\text{hor}}(\text{Gh}_x, D, \mathcal{M}) \quad \mathcal{CB}^{\text{bos,hor}}(D, \mathcal{M}) := \mathcal{CB}^{\text{hor}}(\text{Bos}_x, D, \mathcal{M}) \tag{5.6}$$

and define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{CB}^{\text{free}}(D, \mathcal{M}) &:= \mathcal{CB}(\text{Gh}_x \oplus \text{Bos}_x, D, \mathcal{M}) \\ \mathcal{CB}^{\text{free,hor}}(D, \mathcal{M}) &:= \mathcal{CB}^{\text{hor}}(\text{Gh}_x \oplus \text{Bos}_x, D, \mathcal{M}). \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Assume that  $\mathcal{M}_i$  is a  $\widehat{\text{Gh}}_S^{P_i}$ -module and  $\mathcal{N}_i$  is a  $\widehat{\text{Bos}}_S^{P_i}$ -module. Then  $\mathcal{M}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{N}_i$  is a  $(\widehat{\text{Gh}}_S^{P_i} \oplus \widehat{\text{Bos}}_S^{P_i})$ -module and hence is a  $(\text{Gh}_{\mathfrak{X}} \oplus \text{Bos}_{\mathfrak{X}})_S^{\wedge, P_i}$ -module. The important fact is that the  $N$ -point function of the free-field theory naturally gives the  $N$ -point function of the WZW model.

**Proposition 5.1.**  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathfrak{X}}^D(\otimes(\mathcal{M}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{N}_i)) \subset (\text{Gh}_{\mathfrak{X}}^D \oplus \text{Bos}_{\mathfrak{X}}^D)(\otimes(\mathcal{M}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{N}_i))$ . Hence the identity morphism from  $\otimes(\mathcal{M}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{N}_i)$  to itself induces an  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -linear map:

$$\iota : \mathcal{CB}^{\text{free}}(D, \otimes(\mathcal{M}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{N}_i)) \rightarrow \mathcal{CB}(D, \otimes(\mathcal{M}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{N}_i)). \tag{5.8}$$

Moreover, this induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map between spaces of  $N$ -point functions:

$$\iota : \mathcal{CB}^{\text{free, hor}}(D, \otimes(\mathcal{M}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{N}_i)) \rightarrow \mathcal{CB}^{\text{hor}}(D, \otimes(\mathcal{M}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{N}_i)). \tag{5.9}$$

If  $\mathcal{M}_i = \mathcal{F}^{\text{gh}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$  and  $\mathcal{N}_i = \sigma_{\mu_i(x) dx} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$  for  $\mu_i(x) dx \in \mathfrak{h}^*((x)) dx$ , then an  $N$ -point function of the free field gives an  $N$ -point function of the WZW model with the Wakimoto modules. It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{CB}^{\text{free, hor}}(D, \otimes(\mathcal{M}_i \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{N}_i)) \cong \mathcal{CB}^{\text{gh, hor}}(D, \mathcal{M}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{CB}^{\text{bos, hor}}(D, \mathcal{N}) \tag{5.10}$$

hence it is enough to find  $N$ -point functions in  $\mathcal{CB}^{\text{gh, hor}}(D, (\mathcal{F}^{\text{gh}})^{\otimes N})$  and  $\mathcal{CB}^{\text{bos, hor}}(D, \otimes_{i=1}^N \sigma_{\mu_i(x) dx} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S)$  to construct an  $N$ -point function of the WZW model. Let us denote  $\sigma_{\vec{\mu} dx} := \otimes_{i=1}^N \sigma_{\mu_i(x) dx} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S$  and  $|\vec{\mu} dx\rangle := \otimes_{i=1}^N |\mu_i(x) dx\rangle$  for simplicity.

**Lemma 5.2.** (i)  $\mathcal{CB}^{\text{gh, hor}}(D, (\mathcal{F}^{\text{gh}})^{\otimes N}) = \mathbb{C}\Phi^{\text{gh}}(H; v)$ , where

$$\Phi^{\text{gh}}(H; v) = \Pi(H, \tau)^{-1}(\text{coefficient of } (|0\rangle^{\text{gh}})^{\otimes N} \text{ in } v).$$

(ii)  $\mathcal{CB}^{\text{bos, hor}}(D, \sigma_{\vec{\mu} dx})$  is one dimensional if and only if there exists  $\mu(t) dt \in (\pi_{\mathfrak{X}/S})_*(\mathfrak{h}^* \otimes \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}^1(*D))$  such that each  $\mu_i(x_i) dx_i$  is a Laurent expansion of  $\mu(t) dt$  at  $t = z_i$  with respect to  $x_i = t - z_i$ . In this case,  $\mathcal{CB}^{\text{bos, hor}}(D, \sigma_{\vec{\mu} dx}) = \mathbb{C}\Phi^{\text{bos}}(v)$ , where

$$\Phi^{\text{bos}}(v) = (\text{coefficient of } |\vec{\mu} dx\rangle \text{ in } v).$$

Otherwise,  $\mathcal{CB}^{\text{bos, hor}}(D, \sigma_{\vec{\mu} dx}) = 0$ .

Assume  $\lambda_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) satisfies the condition (2.8), and put  $\mu_i = \lambda_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ),  $\mu_{N+j} = -\alpha_{i(j)}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, M$ ). Lemma 5.2 guarantees that  $\mathcal{CB}^{\text{free, hor}}(D + D', \sigma_{\vec{\mu} dx})$  is one dimensional if we define  $D = P_1 + \dots + P_N$  ( $P_i$  has the coordinate  $z_i$ ),  $D' = Q_1 + \dots + Q_M$  ( $Q_j$  has the coordinate  $t_j$ ) and  $\mu_i(x) dx$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N + M$ ) as the Laurent expansion of  $\mu(t) dt$  defined by

$$\mu(t) dt = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \zeta_{11}(t - z_i) dt - \sum_{j=1}^M \alpha_{i(j)} \zeta_{11}(t - t_j) dt. \tag{5.11}$$

The basis of this one-dimensional space is  $\Phi^{\text{free}}(H; v) = \Phi^{\text{gh}}(H; v)\Phi^{\text{bos}}(v)$ .

We assume that the parameters  $z_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) and  $t_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, M$ ) satisfy the Bethe Ansatz equations (2.9). Then by lemma 2 of [FFR] (or by corollary 5.2 of [K]), the screening vector  $\text{scr}_j$  (cf (5.21) of [K]) in  $\text{Wak}_{\mu_{N+j}(x) dx}$  is a singular vector of imaginary weight. Thanks to this property and (4.10), the linear functional defined by

$$\Psi(H; v) := \Phi^{\text{free}}(H; v \otimes \text{scr}_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \text{scr}_M) \tag{5.12}$$

for  $v \in \otimes_{i=1}^N \text{Wak}_{\mu_i(x) dx}^0 \cong \otimes_{i=1}^N M_{\lambda_i}^*$  has the same property as (3.18):

$$\tilde{\Psi}(H; v \otimes S[-2]|0\rangle) = \tilde{\tau}(u)\Psi(H; v). \tag{5.13}$$

On the other hand, we can compute the left-hand side of (5.13), using the expression (4.9) and the Ward identity (3.9) for the free boson. The result is

$$\tilde{\Psi}(H; v \otimes S[-2]|0) = \tau_{\Psi}(u)\Psi(H; v) \quad (5.14)$$

namely  $\Psi(H; v)$  is the eigenvector of  $\hat{\tau}(u)$  with the eigenvalue  $\tau_{\Psi}(u)$  defined by (2.12).

The explicit form of  $\Psi(H; v)$ , (2.10), is derived by the same argument as that of the rational case. See lemma 3 of [FFR].

### Appendix. Elliptic functions

We follow the notations of [M] for theta functions and denote the odd theta function by

$$\theta_{11}(z, \tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp(\pi i \tau (n + \frac{1}{2})^2 + 2\pi i (z + \frac{1}{2})(n + \frac{1}{2})). \quad (A1)$$

We use multiplicatively and additively quasi-periodic functions,

$$w_c(z) := \frac{\theta'_{11}(0)\theta_{11}(z-c)}{\theta_{11}(z)\theta_{11}(-c)} \quad \zeta_{11}(z) := \frac{d}{dz} \log \theta_{11}(z). \quad (A2)$$

These functions are characterized by the properties

$$w_c(z+1) = w_c(z) \quad w_c(z+\tau) = e^{2\pi i c} w_c(z) \quad w_c(z) \sim z^{-1} \text{ around } z=0. \quad (A3)$$

$$\zeta_{11}(z+1) = \zeta_{11}(z) \quad \zeta_{11}(z+\tau) = \zeta_{11}(z) - 2\pi i \quad \zeta_{11}(z) \sim z^{-1} \text{ around } z=0. \quad (A4)$$

### References

- [EFR] Enriquez B, Feigin B and Rubtsov V 1998 Separation of variables for Gaudin–Calogero systems *Comp. Math.* **110** 1–16
- [ER] Enriquez B and Rubtsov V 1996 Hitchin systems, higher Gaudin operators and  $R$ -matrices *Math. Res. Lett.* **3** 343–57
- [F1] Felder G 1995 Elliptic quantum groups *Proc. 11th Int. Congr. Math. Phys. (Paris, 1994)* (Cambridge, MA: International) pp 211–8
- [F2] Felder G 1995 Conformal field theory and integrable systems associated to elliptic curves *Proc. Int. Congr. Math. (Zürich, 1994)* (Basel: Birkhäuser) pp 1247–55
- [FF1] Feigin B and Frenkel E 1988 A family of representations of affine Lie algebras *Usp. Mat. Nauk* **43–45** 227–8 (in Russian) (Engl. Transl. 1988 *Russ. Math. Surv.* **43–45** 221–2)
- [FF2] Feigin B and Frenkel E 1990 Affine Kac–Moody algebras and semi-infinite flag manifolds *Commun. Math. Phys.* **128** 161–89
- [FFR] Feigin B, Frenkel E and Reshetikhin N 1994 Gaudin model, Bethe Ansatz and critical level *Commun. Math. Phys.* **166** 27–62
- [FS] Felder G and Schorr A 1999 Separation of variables for quantum integrable systems on elliptic curves *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32** 8001–22
- [FV1] Felder G and Varchenko A 1995 Integral representation of solutions of the elliptic Knizhnik–Zamolodchikov–Bernard equations *Int. Math. Res. Notices* **5** 221–33
- [FV2] Felder G and Varchenko A 1997 Three formulae for eigenfunctions of integrable Schrödinger operators *Comp. Math.* **107** 143–75
- [G1] Gaudin M 1972 Modèles exacts en mécanique statistique: la méthode de Bethe et ses généralisations *Note CEA* **1559** 1  
Gaudin M 1973 *Note CEA* **1559** 2
- [G2] Gaudin M 1976 Diagonalisation d’une classe d’hamiltoniens de spin  $J$ . *Physique* **37** 1087–98
- [G3] Gaudin M 1983 La Fonction d’Onde de Bethe (Paris: Masson) (in French) (Russ. Transl. 1987 (Moscow: Mir))
- [I] Inozemtsev V I 1999 Bethe-ansatz equations for quantum Heisenberg chains with elliptic exchange *Preprint math-ph/9911022*
- [K] Kuroki G 1991 Fock space representations of affine Lie algebras and integral representations in the Wess–Zumino–Witten models *Commun. Math. Phys.* **142** 511–42

- [M] Mumford D 1982 *Tata Lectures on Theta I* (Basel: Birkhäuser)
- [S] Sklyanin E K 1987 Separation of variables in the Gaudin model *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)* **164** special issue 'Differentsialnaya Geom. Gruppy Li i Mekh. IX' 151–69 (Engl. Transl. 1989 *J. Sov. Math.* **47** 2473–88)
- [TUY] Tsuchiya A, Ueno K and Yamada Y 1989 Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries. Integrable systems in quantum field theory and statistical mechanics *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **19** 459–566
- [W] Wakimoto M 1986 Fock representations of the affine Lie algebra  $A_1^{(1)}$  *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** 605–9